Minutes of Meeting to Send Recommendation for
“National IPR Policy of India”
On 14th October 2014, Tuesday

The meeting to discuss recommendation for “National IPR Policy of India” was scheduled on 14th October 2014, Tuesday at GTU, Chandkheda campus during 5.30 PM to 7.00 PM. The members remained present is attached as an Annexure-1.

Following agendas were discussed:

Agenda 1: Objectives of the Meeting

Dr. Manish A. Rachchh has discussed about objective of organization of the meeting. He discussed about why Central Government is planning to frame IPR policy of the country after 68 years of Independence. He mentioned that there is also political pressure on central government to frame IPR policy. He mentioned about vision of Honb. Dr. Akshai K. Aggarwal sir for inviting all stakeholders in this meeting and then put forward below mentioned different agendas required to include in Recommendation:

i) Discount to academic researcher’s & academic institute’s in Patent Filing fees and Examination fees

ii) Introduce Utility Patent System in India

iii) Special awareness programs targeting schools, colleges and universities to promote IPR and Patents

iv) IP Facilitating Centers at State wise and district wise

v) Incentives to Natural persons and small entity who are filing patents first time

vi) Linkage of patent offices with state level technical Uni. to percolate IPR mission at a wider scale

vii) Establish state and national level center for Technology transfer

viii) Define incentive sharing among applicant, inventors, technology transfer department and other members involved

ix) Expedite the patent examination process (Suggestion given by Dr. Hitesh Pandya, Student of PGDIPR of GTU and Scientist SF, IPR, Gandhinagar)

Agenda 2: Suggestions by Members who remained present

Dr. A. M. Prabhakar, Principal of VGEC has given his below mentioned recommendations:

- The policy is the broad framework for facilitating IPR.
In the background, policy should include international scenario and national scenario.

- It should include rational / objective of policy.
- It should talk about facilitating mechanism for innovators and researchers.
- It should also include legal support system for IPR.
- Registration mechanism of different forms of IPR.
- Linkages with International organization and agencies.
- It should talk about ultimate objectives with time limit to achieve the goal.
- It should also talk about implementation strategy for policy.

**Shri Padmin Buch**, Project & Management Consultant and Senior Faculty, Entrepreneurship Development Institute of India (former MD, GITCO), Ahmedabad mentioned that policy is going to be formulated by force of external agencies. He has put forward below mentioned recommendations:

- Policy should be transparent
- Policy should include academics/ University in main framework
- Policy should emphasize on Academic-Industry interface
- It should give autonomy and bifurcation of IPR related work viz. separate regulation for patent office, TM office, GI office and like.
- Patent enforcement system need to be redefined to make it faster.
- Policy should talk about skill up gradation and capacity building for IPR.
- It should harmonize patent issues with other patent offices.

**Prof. Sudhir Gupte**, ADIT, New VV Nagar has given below mentioned recommendations:

- Policy should provide mechanism to expedite patent examination process.
- There should be district level/ state level/ national level technology transfer mechanism.
- Policy should introduce utility patent system.
- Under DIPP, separate mechanism should be framed to make link among stakeholders.

**Mr. Amit Patel**, Project Officer (IPR), GTU has given below mentioned recommendations:

- Policy should allow 50% discount to Natural person / Small entity
- Policy should introduce “Patent Term Extension” provision in India
Website of Indian PTO and Patent Search facility should be restructured as per International standard

Develop E-learning tool for IPR as per age group wise, so that message can be percolated to the student of KG to PG

PTO should start certificate program of IPR.

Incentives should be given to students and grass root innovators.

Policy should talk about job creation for IPR professionals.

Government should give special grant for R & D to those academia / MSME to carry out further research.

Licensing agreement, Technology Transfer agreement should be available from Government website.

Mr. Hiranmay Mahanta, Honorary Director, GTU Innovation Council has given below mentioned recommendations:

Policy should be focused to take care about National interest and International interest.

For effective policy, priority industry sectors should be defined.

Policy should provide incentives to innovators to collaborate locally and compete globally.

There should be definite weightage of patent, publications in the recruitment and promotion scheme in academic and research institutes.

Policy should also foster open source for some essential technology of national interest.

Mr. Nayan Kakadia, Student of VGEC, Ahmedabad suggested that …

Patent office should develop interactive web portal

Facility of paid patent search database should be available at district level.

Mr. Lodha Tejas, Ex-student, GEC, Gandhinagar suggested that….

Policy should allow software related patents

Means should be developed to spread awareness among grass root level

There should be integrated system of linkage among Universities and Patent offices

Incentives should be provided to inventors who do invention for public interest

Gov. should frame an effective means for technology transfer.
Agenda 3: Other points

Shri Padmin Buch suggested to invite Secretary of DIPP, Mr. Amitabh Kant at Ahmedabad to have round table meeting for recommendation from University side and from Industry side.

Mr. Hiranmay Mahanta suggested to invite recommendation from industry experts and patent experts.

Dr. A. M. Prabhakar suggested to frame core group and sub group to prepare draft of IPR policy.

Finally meeting was ended with vote of thanks of the chair.

Dated this 14th day of October 2014
Annexure – 1

Stakeholder’s who remain present in the meeting:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dr. A. M. Prabhakar</td>
<td>Principal, VGEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Shri Padmin Buch</td>
<td>Project &amp; Management Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dr. Sudhir Gupte</td>
<td>ADIT, VV Nagar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mr. Hiranmay Mahanta</td>
<td>Honb. Director, GIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Dr. Manish A. Rachchh</td>
<td>Asso. Professor, GTU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mr. Amit Patel</td>
<td>Project Office (IPR), GTU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Mr. Abhilav Jaiswal</td>
<td>Student, Silver Oak College of Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ms. Chothani Priti</td>
<td>Student, Mat. VB Manavar Pharmacy College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Ms. Vora Khushali</td>
<td>Student, Mat. VB Manavar Pharmacy College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Mr. Mohit Dave</td>
<td>Student, UCET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Mr. Ashish Patel</td>
<td>Student, Laxmi Institute of Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Mr. Jinit Barot</td>
<td>Student, Laxmi Institute of Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Mr. Nayan Kankadiya</td>
<td>Student, VGEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Mr. Krunal Dave</td>
<td>Student, VGEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Mr. Khunt Hardik</td>
<td>Student, VGEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Mr. Tejas Lodha</td>
<td>Ex-student, GEC, Gandhinagar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>